LUMBAR RANGE OF MOTION: RELIABILITY BETWEEN SCHOBER'S TEST & MODIFIED SCHOBER'S TEST

AMPLITUDINEA DE MIȘCARE A COLOANEI LOMBARE: **COMPATIBILITATEA DINTRE TESTUL SCHOBER & TESTUL** SCHOBER MODIFICAT

Verma Chhaya V^{l} , Deshpande Renuka², Krishnan Vijava³, Bakre Amol⁴

Keywords: Lumbar spine, range of motion, assessment Cuvinte cheie: coloana lombară, amplitudine de Schober's Test, Modified Schober's Test, Reliability

mişcare, evaluare, testul Schober, testul Schober modificat, fiabilitate

Abstract

Background. Lumbar Spine is one of the most commonly Rezumat affected segment of the spine due to numerous etiologica Introducere. Zona lombară este una dintre cele mai factors. Its accurate assessment importantly including afectate segmente ale coloanei, datorită factorilor Lumbar ROM is essential for evaluation of spina etiologici multipli. Evaluarea acurată, functions; seek appropriate treatment and monitor patien amplitudinea lombară de mișcare este esențială pentru progress. Schober's test is the most widely used and funcția spinală, tratamentul adecvat și monitorizarea universal test for measuring lumbar sagittal ranges progresului pacientului. Testul Schober este cel mai However, it was modified on observing the significan folosit pentru măsurarea amplitudinii lombare sagitale. difference in the movement of the skin with respect to the underneath spinous process. Validity of these tests wa semnificative dintre miscarea tegumentului fată de established by radiography, but reliability was no processele spinoase. Validitatea acestor teste s-a stabilit confirmed.

Aim. This project was, thus, undertaken to establish the Scop. Scopul acestui studiu este de a stabili fiabilitatea reliability between two tests - Schober's and Modifier celor doug teste - testul Schober si testul Schober Schober's, in healthy individuals.

Method and Material. 100 healthy subjects between 15 25yrs of age were selected randomly. Hamstring tightnes of each was measured followed by recording of Schober and modified Schobers test. Reliability was the testul Schober modificat. S-a calculat fiabilitatea calculated using the correlation coefficient. Materials user include measuring tape and pen.

Result. It was found that in both males and females, mile Rezultate. Atât la bărbați, cât și la femei, s-a constatat to moderate hamstring tightness was noticed. The scurtarea moderată a ischiobambierilor. Coeficientul de calculated correlation coefficient for flexion, as well a corelație pentru flexie și extensie a fost mare. extension in the subjects, indicated high.

And Modified Schober's are highly reliable for the fiabilitate ca mijloc de măsurare a amplitudinii lombare measurement of lumbar range of motion in health de miscare, la indivizii sănătoși. Mesaj cheie: individual. Key messages. Lumbar range of motion amplitudinea lombară de miscare (ROM), este des (ROM), is often used to evaluate spinal function, selec folosită pentru evaluarea functiei spinale, selectarea appropriate treatment & monitor patient's progress. Then tratamentului adecvat & monitorizarea progresului are different methods of assessment like inclinometers pacientului. Se mai folosesc teste precum înclinometru, goniometry, etc. Amongst others, both Schober's test & goniometru, etc. Testele Schober & Schober modificat Modified Schober's test are widely used and reliable sunt folosite la scară largă în evaluarea funcției coloanei methods for evaluation of Lumbar spine.

incluzând Acesta a fost modificat pentru a observa diferențele prin ragiografie, dar fiabilitatea nu s-a confirmat încă.

modificat, la pacienții sănătoși.

Material și metodă. 100 de subiecți sănătoși cu vârste între 15-25 de ani au fost selectați aleator. S-a evaluat scurtarea ischiogambierilor, urmată de testul Schober și folosind coeficientul de corelație. Materialele folosite includ banda de măsurat si pix.

Concluzii. Se poate afirma că ambele teste - testul Conclusion. We conclude that both the tests - Schober' Schober și testul Schober modificat prezintă o mare lombare

⁴ Mr. Bakre. Amol M.; Student of Physiotherapy, Seth G.S. Medical College & K.E.M. Hospital, Mumbai.

¹ Prof. Mrs. Verma. Chhaya V.; Professor- Associate Professor, Department of Physiotherapy, Lokmanya Tilak Municipal Medical College & Hospital, Mumbai.

² Mrs. Deshpande. Renuka; Intern, Lokmanya Tilak Municipal Medical College & Hospital, Mumbai.

³ Mrs. Krishnan. Vijaya, Masters in Musculoskeletal Physiotherapy, Lokmanya Tilak Municipal Medical College & Hospital, Mumbai.

Correspondending author: 302/35, Griselda bldg., K.A.Subramanium road, Matunga, Mumbai - 400019 Contact No: +91-9920029213, email. victoryv2@yahoo.co.in

Introduction

The adult vertebral column is a segmented, jointed, flexible rod. It supports the load of the body in the upright posture and protects the spinal cord and spinal nerves that allows considerable flexibility. It also acts as a shock absorber and serves as the axial support for the body. [1]

LUMBAR SPINE – consists of 5 lumbar vertebra with the fifth lumbar vertebra structurally adapted for articulation with the sacrum. Each consist of a body to bear weight, arches (pedicles, laminae) to protect neural elements and processes (zygapophyseal articular process, transverse process, spinous process) as outriggers to increase the efficiency of muscle action. The lumbosacral articulation forms the lumbosacral angle, which maintains the lordotic curve. [3]

EFFECTS OF SAGITTAL MOVEMENTS ON LUMBAR SPINE – a functional unit of the spine consists of 2 adjacent vertebrae, intervening intervertebral disc and the soft tissues that secure them. Flexion and extension are sagittal planes motions. Movement is initiated by muscular action exerting its traction effect on the functional units, which move individually and thus, move the entire spine. ^[4] Lumbar flexion occurs with reversal of the static lumbar lordosis and more at the $L_5 - S_1$ junction. [2,3,4]

Assessment

The basis of any successful treatment program is an ordered subjective and objective assessment of the problem. A thorough assessment will not only help the therapist reach an accurate diagnosis but also indicate the types of treatment that may be used in rehabilitation. ⁽⁵⁾ With the assessment of the active movement of the lumbar spine, it is of vital importance to look at the quality, as well as a range of movement. ^[6, 7,8] Unlike the peripheral joints, the assessment of spine is tough due to the difficulty in palpating the body's landmarks on account of excessive soft tissue, the variations in normal curvature of the spine and the presence of hip motion that may confound the spinal measurement ^[9]. Also, the range of flexion and extension is maximal between L4 & L5 and decreases progressively from lumbar to the thoracic region and from lower lumbar to upper lumbar level. [10]

Of the many different methods used for assessment, Schober's test ^[14] is the most widely used and universally accepted. Moreover, the test is repeatable and hence can be used for assessment of prognosis [15]

However Macrae and Wright modified the original Schober's method. It was based on the observation that on forward flexion, both the skin marks tend to move superiorly relative to the spinous process and the skin is more firmly tethered at a point 5cm, lower on the sacrum. The validity of this new method was established by the above authors but; reliability was not confirmed. [16]

Reliability – is the consistency or repeatability of movements, that is, the degree to which measurements are error free and the degree to which repeated movements would agree ^[18]. Therapists regularly perform various measurements. Reliability testing is usually performed to assess

- Instrumental reliability for a measurement device
 - Rater reliability for researcher, observer, etc. administering the measurement device
 - Response reliability for the variable being measured ^[19]

Aim

To assess the reliability of Schober's & Modified Schober's test for assessment of Lumbar Spine Range of motion

Objectives

- 1. To perform Schober's test & record the readings
- 2. To perform Modified Schober's test & record the readings
- 3. To assess the reliability of the above tests

Materials and Methods

Study design: Cross-sectional Study. Study duration: 3 months. Place of study: Public Sector Hospital (Lokmanya Tilak Municipal Medical College and General Hospital), Mumbai, India. Materials used: Measuring tape and pen.

Methodology

A total of 100 healthy subjects between the age group of 15 & 25 years participated in the study. The Institutional Ethics Committee approved the study, and an informed consent it obtained from all the subjects.

Inclusion Criteria

✓ Males and Females between 15 & 25 years of age

Exclusion Criteria

- ✓ low back pain
- ✓ severe hamstring tightness
- \checkmark scoliosis in any region of the spine
- ✓ history of any surgeries in the low back
- ✓ pain in the hip, knee or ankle joint.
- ✓ sacroiliac dysfunction or sacroiliac joint pain.

Procedure

Each subject was asked to lie supine, and the examiner assessed hamstring tightness.

Subjects with severe tightness are excluded from the study. The subject was then asked to stand erect, and Schober's Test and Modified Schober's Test were carried out in the following way:

Schober's Test: This test was originally described by Schober. [14]



Fig. 1 Schober's Test^[14]

The examiner first palpated the posterior superior iliac spine (PSIS) that corresponds to the second sacral vertebra. With this as a reference point, the examiner palpated and marked the

lumbosacral junction. Another point 10 cm superior to this point was marked. The subject was then instructed to bend forward as far as possible keeping the knees straight throughout the entire movement. When the subject had completed maximum trunk flexion, the increase in the distance between two markings was measured and recorded, and the subject was instructed to return to the upright position. Every subject performed three repetitions and for each repetition, the initial distance was subtracted from the final distance. The normal values for Schober's test for Flexion range from 3cm to 5cm.

For the extension using Schober's Test, the subject was asked to hyperextend from the lumbar spine, and a decrease in the distance between the two markings was measured and recorded.

Modified Schober's Test:

The original Schober's test was modified by Macrae & Wright. [15,16]



Fig 2. Modified Schober's Test^[14]

Marking a point 10 cm above lumbosacral junction and another point 5cm below the lumbosacral junction modified the test. Now these markings with a distance of 15cm were taken for reference. The subject then had to perform lumbar flexion and extension, in the same way as was performed in Schober's test. Like the Schober's test, each subject performed three repetitions for flexion and extension movements for modified Schober's test, and the difference between the two markings before and after the movement was obtained. The subject was allowed a rest period of 1 minute after each repetition to prevent fatigability.

Statistical analysis was done using Pearson's correlation coefficient (r value) to assess the test-retest reliability of the two testing methods.

Results



Fig 3. Characteristics of the subjects

Table 2: Correlation of Lumbar Flexion between Schober's Test and Modified Schober's Test

Test	Average Flexion (x <u>+ SD)</u>
Schober's Test	3.9 +- 0.89
Modified Schober's Test	6.4+-1.15
r value	0.87
p-value	<0.00001



Graph 2: Correlation of Lumbar Flexion between Schober's Test and Modified Schober's Test

Table 3: Correlation of Lumbar Extension between Schober's Test and Modified Schober's Test

Test	Average Extension $(x\pm SD)$
Schober's Test	1.90+-0.45
Modified Schober's Test	2.68+-0.61
r value	0.84
p-value	<0.00001



Graph 3: Correlation of Lumbar Extension between Schober's Test and Modified Schober's Test

Discussion

Reliability is the extent to which similar information is obtained when a measurement is performed more than once. The most commonly used are- Interrater and Intrarater. While interrater reliability assesses the degree of agreements between two or more raters with their appraisals; interrater reliability assesses the degree to which test scores are consistent from one test administration to the next. Measurements are gathered from single rater who uses the methods or instruments and the same testing conditions. [20]

A thorough evaluation of the lumbar spine is the key component for successful treatment of the lumbar spine. Correct diagnosis depends on knowledge of functional anatomy, accurate patient history, diligent observation, and examination. [13]

The examination entails subjective as well as objective physical measurements, which consists of various tests for different parameters. [13, 21]

Accurate assessment of a lumbar range of motion is of great value for both evaluating lumbar functions and monitoring treatment progress. Reliable methods of measuring lumbar range of motion are important in assessing spinal function. [6,11] The purpose of this study was, thus, to determine the intra-rater reliability of lumbar flexion and extension measurements using the Schober's test and Modified Schober's technique.

Table 1 shows the demographic data, which represents the sample characteristics.

Table 2 shows the correlation of flexion values of Schober's and Modified Schober's Test. The correlation coefficient(r) is 0.86 and p-value is <0.00001. [23] This is highly significant. This suggests that both these tests have high reliability for measuring lumbar flexion range.

Table 3 shows the correlation of extension values of Schober's and Modified Schober's Test. The correlation coefficient (r) is 0.83 and p-value is <0.00001which is highly significant. This implies that both these tests are highly reliable to use for measurement of lumbar extension.

Our results are supported by studies done by various researchers done in the past decade. Gill et al. [24], 1988 in their study concluded that modified Schober's method was most repeatable and recommended it for a routine, non-invasive, clinical evaluation of lumbar spinal motion.

Fitzgerald et al. [25] performed a study on 17 physical therapy students to measure lumbar range of motion by modified Schober's test with the reliability of 1.00 for lumbar flexion and 0.88 for lumbar extension. A study by Beattie et al. [26] also reported a high reliability for measuring lumbar extension by modified Schober's test. FFD and the Schober test showed excellent intertest reliability. [27] Meritt et al. studied the lumbar flexibility of 25 healthy subjects by examining lumbar flexion and extension measurements using fingertip-to-floor, single inclinometer and modified Schober's methods. They concluded that the modified Schober's demonstrated good reproducibility. [28]

With highly significant values, we mean that the Schober's and Modified Schober's test when performed with the same examiner on the same subjects, the results were negligibly different. In other words, both these tests can be used interchangeably with a statistically insignificant error.

Conclusion

This study concludes that both Schober's test and Modified Schober's test are equally reliable for measuring lumbar flexion and extension ranges.

Acknowledgements

We thank god almighty, the Dean of the institution, the Head of physiotherapy department and last but not the least, the subjects who participated in the study without whose cooperation this study would not have been possible.

References

- [1] Maria Zuluaga et al. (1998) *Sports physiotherapy: applied science and practice*, 1st edition Churchill Livingston reprinted
- [2] Norkin C., Lewangi P. (2006) *The vertebral column: Joint Structure and Function*. 3rd Edition. Jaypee Publications.
- [3] David A. Wong, Ensor Transfeldt (2006) *Macnab's Backache*, fourth edition Lippincott Williams And publication
- [4] Rene Cailliet (1981) Low Back Pain Syndrome. 3rd Edition. F.A. Davis Company.
- [5] Carolyn Kisner, Lynn Allen Colby (2012) *Therapeutic Exercise Foundations and Techniques* (Therapeutic Exercise: Foundations and Techniques) sixth edition
- [6] Mc Kenzie RA. (1981) *The Lumbar Spine: Mechanical Diagnosis & Therapy*, Waikanae, New Zealand: Spinal Publications Ltd.
- [7] Maitland GD. (18988) Vertebral Manipulation. 5th Edition. London, England: Butterworth and Co. Ltd.
- [8] Mayer TG, Gatchel RJ. (1988), Functional Restoration for Spinal Disorders: The Sports Medicine Approach. Philadelphia, PA: Lea & Febiger.
- [9] American Academy of Orthopedic Surgeons (1965) *Joint Motion: Method of Measuring and Recording.* Chicago, III:46.
- [10] Kapandji (1974) Trunk and Vertebral Column: The Physiology of Joints. 2nd Edition. Vol III, London & New York Churchill Livingstone.
- [11] Renee Williams, Jill Binkley, Ralph Bloch, Charles Goldsmith, Terry Minuk (1993) Reliability of the Modified- Modified Schober's Test and Double Inclinometer Methods for Measuring Lumbar Flexion and Extension; *Physical Therapy*; 73:33-44.
- [12] Reynolds PMG (1975) Measurement of Spinal Mobility: a comparison of three methods. *Rheumat. Rehab.*;14: 180-185.
- [13] Magee DJ. Lumbar Spine: Orthopedic Physical Assessment. 5th Edition. W.B. Saunders Company.
- [14] Schober's P. (1934) The Lumbar Vertebral Column in Backache. Munchener Medizinisch Wochenschriff; 84: 336-338.
- [15] Augustus White III and Manohar Punjabi (1978), *Clinical Biomechanics of Spine*. J.B. Lippincott The company, Toronto.
- [16] Macrae IF., Wright V. (1969) Measurement of back movement. Ann Rheum Dis.; 28: 584-589.
- [17] Rothschild BM. (1982) Rheumatology: A primary care approach. Brooklyn NY, Yorke Medical Books, p.23.
- [18] XXX (1991) Task Force on Standards for Measurements in Physical Therapy. Standards for tests and measurements in physical therapy practice. *Physical Therapy*; 71; 589-622.
- [19] Anne Bruton, Joy H Conway, Stephan T Holgate (2000) Reliability: What is it, and how is it measured?, *Physiotherapy*, 2000, 86, 2.
- [20] J.H. Abramson, Z.H. Abramson (1999) *Survey methods in community medicine*, fifth edition Churchill Livingstone
- [21] Randolph M. Kessler, Darlene Hertling (2005) *Management of Common Musculoskeletal Disorders: Physical Therapy Principles and Methods*, fourth edition
- [22] R. Francis, S. Dheerendra, C. Natali, A. Sivaraman (2010) Schober's test: revisited, J Bone Joint Surg Br, vol. 92-B no. SUPP IV 563
- [23] Richman J, Mackrides L, Prince B. (1980) Research methodology and applied statistics, part 3: measurement procedures in research. *Physiotherapy Canada*, 32: 253-257.
- [24] Gill K, Krag MH, Johnson GB, Haugh LD, Pope MH. (1998) Repeatability of four clinical methods for assessment of lumbar spinal motion, *Spine*: 13, 50-53
- [25] Fitzgerald GK, Wynveen KJ, Rheault W, Rothchild B. (1983) Objective assessment with establishment of normal values for lumbar spine range of motion. *Physical Therapy.*; 63:1776-1781.
- [26] Beattie P, Rothstein JM, Lamb RL. (1987) Reliability of attraction methods for measuring lumbar spine is backward bending. *Physical Therapy*; 67: 364-369.
- [27] Robinson, Hilde Stendal; Mengshoel, Anne Marit (2014) Assessments of Lumbar Flexion Range of Motion: Intertester Reliability and Concurrent Validity of 2 Commonly Used Clinical Tests, Spine:15 February; 39: 270–275
- [28] Meritt JL, Mclean TJ, Erickson RP. (1986) Measurement of trunk flexibility in normal subjects, reproducibility of three clinical methods, *Mayo Clin Proc.*; 61:192-197.

- [29] Graham Apley, Louis Solomon, Selvadurai Nayagam (2001) *Apley's system of Orthopedics & Fractures*. 8th Edition. Butterworth Heinemann Ltd.
 [30] Moll J. Wright V. (1976), Measurement of Spinal Movement In The Lumbar Spine & Back Pain.
- New York, NY: Grune & Stratton Inc.:96.